

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/876,346	06/07/2001	Tadashi Ichida	57139-5052	9056
24574 75	590 03/25/2004		EXAMINER	
	NGELS, BUTLER & M	SMITH, JULIE KNECHT		
1900 AVENUE OF THE STARS, 7TH FLOOR LOS ANGELES. CA 90067			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			3682	
•			DATE MAILED: 03/25/2004	4

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
,	09/876,346	ICHIDA ET AL.				
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
	Julie K Smith	3682				
The MAILING DATE of this communication ap Period for Reply	pears on the cover sheet with the o	orrespondence address –				
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPL THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1. after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a replied if NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period. Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statut Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tirely within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) day will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from e, cause the application to become ABANDONE	nely filed /s will be considered timely. I the mailing date of this communication. ED (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
Status						
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 25 €	November 2003.					
·= · ·—	s action is non-final.					
3) Since this application is in condition for allowa	·—					
Disposition of Claims						
4) ☐ Claim(s) 2-10 is/are pending in the application 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdra 5) ☐ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) ☐ Claim(s) 2-10 is/are rejected. 7) ☐ Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) ☐ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or	wn from consideration.					
Application Papers						
9)☐ The specification is objected to by the Examine	er.					
10)⊠ The drawing(s) filed on <u>07 July 2003</u> is/are: a)	0)⊠ The drawing(s) filed on <u>07 July 2003</u> is/are: a)⊠ accepted or b)□ objected to by the Examiner.					
Applicant may not request that any objection to the	drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See	∋ 37 CFR 1.85(a).				
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the E	-	•				
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119						
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority document 2. Certified copies of the priority document 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority application from the International Burea * See the attached detailed Office action for a list	ts have been received. ts have been received in Applicationity documents have been receive ou (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	on No ed in this National Stage				
Attachment(s)	» —	(270.440)				
I) ☑ Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) I) ☑ Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)	4) ∐ Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail Da					
Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date		eatent Application (PTO-152)				

Art Unit: 3682

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

- 1. Claims 2, 6, 7 and 10 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.
- 2. The applicant amended claim 6 to state that the adjusting piston extended into the adjusting chamber, instead of the master cylinder. However, claims 2, 7 and 10 still claim that the adjusting piston extends into the master cylinder. It is unclear to the Examiner as to which scenario the applicant is trying to claim. For purposes of this office action, it is going to be assumed that the adjuster piston extends into the adjuster chamber. The drawings also show the adjuster piston extending into the adjuster chamber.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

3. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.
- 4. Claim 6 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Gajek et al. (5,443,134). Gajek et al. discloses a method of shifting gears in a hydraulic shift gear mechanism having a master cylinder (1a) in communication with a slave cylinder (2), the master cylinder attachable to a bicycle handlebar by a bracket and comprising a main chamber having a

Art Unit: 3682

master piston (1c) and an adjuster chamber (107) including an adjusting piston (108) threadingly engaged therein and a port for adding or removing fluid, and rotating the adjusting piston to vary the depth in which the adjusting piston extends into the adjusting chamber.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 5. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
- (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
 - 6. Claims 2, 3, 5 and 10 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Kawakami (5,832,782) in view of Gajek et al. and further in view of Kawakami et al. (5,601,001).

Kawakami discloses a shift control device attachable to the handlebar of a bicycle, the shift control device comprising a bracket (3) attachable to the handlebar, a pivot shaft (see figs. 20a-d) spaced apart from the handlebar and fixedly secured to the bracket, a rotating member (90) rotatable in a first direction and a second direction about the pivot shaft, a control lever (95) operatively connected with the rotating member and biased in a neutral position, a push mechanism comprising a first latch segment and a push pawl biased toward the first latch segment and configured to cooperate with the first latch segment to rotate the rotating member in a first direction, a return mechanism comprising a second latch segment and a return pawl, the return pawl having a first claw and a second claw which alternately engage the second latch segment when the rotating member is rotating in the second direction (see figs. 20a-d).

Art Unit: 3682

Kawakami lacks an adjusting piston, as claimed. However, Gajek et al. teaches an adjusting piston (108) adjustably extending into an adjusting chamber in which it is threadingly engaged and operable to adjust the volume of the conduit.

Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art to modify the invention of Kawakami with the teachings of Gajek et al. to provide an adjustable piston assembly so as to allow the amount of fluid entering the cylinder to be adjusted and controlled, providing for a more efficient system.

Kawakami further lacks a shift lever that rotates in forward and backward directions from a neutral position. However, Kawakami et al. teaches a shift lever moveable in a first and second direction from a neutral position (see fig. 3).

Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to provide a lever that can rotate forward and backward from a neutral position to provide more degrees of freedom in the lever, giving the rider more shifting options.

7. Claims 4 and 7 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Kawakami, Gajek et al. and Kawakami et al. as applied to claims 2, 3, 5, and 10 above, and further in view of Irwin (4,497,503). The reference combination set forth above discloses a shifter as claimed, but lacks the hydraulic rack and pinion arrangement as claimed by the applicant.

However, Irwin teaches a pinion gear (44) rotatable about a pivot shaft and operatively connected to a rotating member wherein the pinion gear rotates with the rotating member, and a rack gear (46) engaged with the pinion gear and operatively connected to a piston (50) of the

Art Unit: 3682

conduit having a volume.

master cylinder, wherein the rotation of the rotating member in the first direction corresponds to a movement of the piston in a push direction and the rotation in the second direction corresponds to a movement of the piston in a return direction. Irwin further teaches a slave cylinder assembly (90), a master cylinder assembly (50,52) operatively connected to the rotating member of the positioning mechanism, the master cylinder assembly having a primary piston (50) that is movable in a push direction when the rotating member rotates in a first direction and is movable in a return direction when the rotating member rotates in a second direction, a conduit (58) conveying a fluid between the master cylinder assembly and the slave cylinder assembly, the

Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to modify the apparatus of the reference combination set forth above with the teachings of Irwin to have an adjustable hydraulic rack and pinion device for shifting the bicycle so as to provide a smoother shifting process by reducing friction and reducing "lost motion" in the system, providing for a more efficient system.

8. Claims 8 and 9 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Gajek et al. as applied to claim 6 above, and further in view of Kawakami et al. Gajek et al. discloses a shifter, as claimed, but lacks a shift lever that rotates in forward and backward directions from a neutral position. However, Kawakami et al. teaches a shift lever moveable in a first and second direction from a neutral position (see fig. 3).

Art Unit: 3682

Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to provide a lever that can rotate forward and backward from a neutral position to provide more degrees of freedom in the lever, giving the rider more shifting options.

Response to Arguments

9. Applicant's arguments with respect to claims 2-10 have been considered but are moot in view of the new ground(s) of rejection.

Conclusion

10. Applicant's amendment necessitated the new ground(s) of rejection presented in this Office action. Accordingly, **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL**. See MPEP § 706.07(a). Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the date of this final action.

Art Unit: 3682

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Julie K Smith whose telephone number is 703-305-3948. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Friday, 8-5:30, (Every other Friday off).

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, David A Bucci can be reached on 703-308-3668. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

↓ \(\mathcal{L} \)\$JksMarch 18, 2004

SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER
TECHNOLOGY CENTER 3600